

## CORRIGENDUM

The 8th April, 1968

No. 3132-2Lab 68 9012.—In exercise of powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (Central Act XI of 1948), the President of India is pleased to make the following amendment in item 2 under caption "Employees Representative" in Haryana Government Labour Department Notification No. 1450-2Lab-68 6706, dated 13th 16th March, 1968, namely :—

"For Shri Nannu Mal the name of Shri Mohan Lal, Vice President, Karnal Press Workers Union, Karnal shall be substituted"

The 19th April, 1968

No. 3377-3Lab-68 10200.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the President of India is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s Jhajjar Motor Roadways (P) Ltd., Jhajjar.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 34 of 1968

Between

Shri Duli Chand workman and the management of M/s Jhajjar Motor Roadways (P) Ltd., Jhajjar.

Present :

Shri S. N. Vats, for the claimant.

Shri Chet Ram, Inspector, for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Duli Chand was employed as a Driver in M/s Jhajjar Motor Roadways (P) Ltd., Jhajjar. His service was terminated on 1st October, 1967. This gave rise to an industrial dispute and the President of India, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication, —vide Gazette Notification No. ID/RK/44-68/ dated 18th March, 1968.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Duli Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties in response to which Shri S. N. Vats representative of the claimant filed a statement of claim on behalf of the claimant and the management filed their written statement through their employee Shri Chet Ram who produced the written authority signed by the General Manager of the respondent Company to appear on their behalf. The representative of the management has filed the written statement in which the claim of the workman has been admitted and it is stated that the claimant has been reinstated with full back wages and continuity of services. It must, therefore, be held that the termination of the service of Shri Duli Chand was not justified and in order but as he has already been reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages. He is not entitled to any further relief. I give my award accordingly.

No order as to costs.

Dated the 4th April, 1968.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 699, dated the 6th April, 1968

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 4th April, 1968.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.